

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,391	10/07/2005	Thomas M. Anderson	93109	9274
28020	7590 07/03/200	6	EXAMINER	
•	ANT, MOOTY, MO	ROSE, ROBERT A		
P.O. BOX 2906 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3723	

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,391	ANDERSON, THOMAS M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Rose	3723				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> .					
	· _					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,11-13,15,16,21,22,24-28,32,33,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3,8-10,14,17-20,23,29-31,34 and 37-40 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/552,391

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim1-2, 4, 6-7, 12-13, 15-16, 21, 24-28, 33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Smith(US 2492372). Smith discloses a system for rapid replacement of an abrasive material having two opposed edges, in a surface finishing machine having a non-removable platen. The abrasive material is in the form of a sheet of sandpaper(51) secured within rails(49) by mounts(47). A slot within the mounts accommodates the edges of the sandpaper to tension the sandpaper when the rails are closed over the mounts.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 11, 22, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith. To utilize only a single movable rail to tension the sandpaper while simplifying the device would amount to no more than an obvious matter of design choice to those of ordinary skill in the art. Further, to make the mounts removable from the device for servicing, would have been an obvious matter of design choice. To

Application/Control Number: 10/552,391

Art Unit: 3723

manufacture the mounts of aluminum for strength would have amounted to no more than an obvious selection of a well-known material based upon it's suitability for the intended use.

- 6. Claims 3, 8-10, 14, 17-20, 23, 29-31, 34, and 37-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest longitudinal movement of the rails to tighten the abrasive sheet, nor does the prior art suggest more than one grit size of abrasive on the abrasive sheet.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Botteghi, May, Lewis, Chikaki, and Gurusamy et al are cited to show other mounting arrangements for replacement of an abrasive sheet.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on alternate Fridays, from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,391

Art Unit: 3723

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

Rr

June 23, 2006.